



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/216,519	12/18/1998	DARREN KERR	112025-0112	9572
75	90 03/01/2004		EXAM	INER
CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP ATTN: A. Sidney Johnston			MEISLAHN, DOUGLAS J	
88 BLACK FALCON AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 271 BOSTON, MA	02210		2137 DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004	25

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

fn

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/216,519	KERR ET AL.			
·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Douglas J. Meislahn	2137			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 3 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applicantly a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailible The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth a later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:				
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	·			
<ul><li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s): 112 rejections.				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmer explanation of how the new or amended claims were appeared to the proposed amendment.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) $\boxtimes$ will not be entered or b) $\square$ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-53</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ app	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	··········			
I∩ □ Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 09/216,519

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments to the claims has changed the scope of the claims and thus require further search and consideration. For example, claim 1 now mandates that an ALU is contained within the pipelined processor whereas before, its placement was not specified. Also, claim 1 now includes an instruction decode stage, which assumes functions previously performed by the ALU, which now does not do those functions. While the amendments to the claims require a new search and hence do not currently put the claims in condition for allowance, they do overcome the outstanding 112 rejection.